


B Results from 2nd Community Survey

Q1 Feedback from the first round of community input highlighted the importance of having a "safe" community. Recognizing that from a community perspective all of the following are important, please identify which aspects of a "safe" community are most important to you. Please select up to three.

Answered: 124 Skipped: 2



| ANSWER CHOICES | | RESPONSES | |
|--|--|-----------|-----|
| Safety from personal crime | | 79.03% | 98 |
| Safety from property crime / vandalism | | 87.10% | 108 |
| Speed enforcement | | 58.87% | 73 |
| Installing lights at strategic locations like intersections, to enhance safety | | 17.74% | 22 |
| Education for residents about how to prevent crime | | 12.10% | 15 |
| Other (please specify) | | 11.29% | 14 |
| Total Respondents: 124 | | | |


| # | OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) | DATE |
|---|--|--------------------|
| 1 | Traffic "calming" is nothing but a way to frustrate motorists and inhibit the flow of EMS thereby negatively impacting "safety" See NMA e-newsletter 692 | 4/18/2022 10:18 AM |
| 2 | Wildfire prevention on private & public land | 4/18/2022 8:44 AM |
| 3 | No increased lighting. Dark skies make this area special. | 4/13/2022 8:34 PM |

Cherry Hills Village Master Plan Update Second Community Survey

| | | |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 4 | lights are less desirable than roundabouts, despite the confusion the current leadership caused when the previous leadership tried to introduce them by kent denver | 4/13/2022 2:38 PM |
| 5 | General pedestrian safety should be improved throughout the village. | 4/11/2022 9:13 PM |
| 6 | alerts to homeowners about repeated criminal activity in specific areas | 4/11/2022 8:36 AM |
| 7 | Add speed bumps on South Franklin Street - too many people speeding through the neighborhood. | 4/4/2022 6:06 PM |
| 8 | reducing thru-traffic patterns with speed bumps, etc., to keep people from cutting through neighborhoods. | 4/4/2022 11:21 AM |
| 9 | paying top dollar for good police enforcement or expanding the police force. Also utilizing technology like license plate readers, etc. | 4/4/2022 9:39 AM |
| 10 | Avoiding public lights to attract attention. Safe air/water/environmental conditions | 4/2/2022 1:09 AM |
| 11 | We already have a safe community, Law enforcement is doing a good job. | 3/31/2022 8:59 PM |
| 12 | Keeping our children safe - we live here so that our children can run around in a safe environment. Whether that is traffic, speed enforcements, personal safety, etc...I want our children to be (and feel) safe. | 3/24/2022 9:35 AM |
| 13 | Have police patrolling and actually following up on mail theft and such. | 3/23/2022 4:20 PM |
| 14 | If there is officer presence for speed control, that presence sends a message to potential criminals that there is a presence. Our culture is surrounded/bombarded with ways to educate oneself on preventing crime, and the village frequently sends out redundant tips, but people still leave their cars unlocked, don't install security lighting/cameras or posts all their vacation photos to show they are out of town. | 3/23/2022 10:53 AM |

Q2 Feedback from the first round of community input highlighted the importance of having and preserving the "natural" characteristics of the Village. Which aspects of these "natural" characteristics are most important to you, for publicly owned property in the Village? Please rank your top three choices from the options below (with "1" representing your top choice).

Answered: 124 Skipped: 2



| Rank | 1 | 2 | 3 | TOTAL |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|
| Natural grasses and plants | 22.54% 16 | 45.07% 32 | 32.39% 23 | 71 |
| Trees and tree canopy | 70.27% 78 | 19.82% 22 | 9.91% 11 | 111 |
| Birds and wildlife habitat | 14.52% 9 | 50.00% 31 | 35.48% 22 | 62 |
| Ponds, creeks, and other water features | 14.81% 12 | 37.04% 30 | 48.15% 39 | 81 |
| Natural topography (ridges, hills, valleys, etc.) | 26.92% 14 | 15.38% 8 | 57.69% 30 | 52 |


| # | OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) | DATE |
|---|---|-------------------|
| 1 | Native grasses still need strategic mowing in late summer for fire prevention | 4/18/2022 8:44 AM |
| 2 | Trails and paths 1 | 4/15/2022 5:19 PM |
| 3 | Open fences. No solid walls. | 4/11/2022 9:13 PM |

Cherry Hills Village Master Plan Update Second Community Survey

| | | |
|---|---|--------------------|
| 4 | Stop cutting down all growth trees | 4/4/2022 6:44 PM |
| 5 | Views and pastoral space | 4/4/2022 11:21 AM |
| 6 | Please let the natural grasses grow without the need to be mowing them. It's natures way. | 3/31/2022 8:59 PM |
| 7 | Restoration of native prairie at Quincy Farm | 3/28/2022 9:32 PM |
| 8 | The geese are a problem unfortunately, which is why I didn't choose birds/wildlife habitat. However, the more we mess with creatures, the more problems we will have, so I selected features that are easy for humans to improve and control. | 3/24/2022 9:35 AM |
| 9 | Birds, wildlife, ponds, creeks should be part of the natural topography; symbiotic. | 3/23/2022 10:53 AM |

Q3 Feedback from the first round of community input highlighted the importance of having and preserving the "natural" characteristics of the Village. Which aspects of these "natural" characteristics are most important to you, for privately owned property in the Village? Please rank your top three choices from the options below (with "1" representing your top choice).

Answered: 121 Skipped: 5




| Rank | 1 | 2 | 3 | TOTAL |
|--|--------|--------|--------|-------|
| Natural grasses and plants | 29.03% | 45.16% | 25.81% | 31 |
| Trees and tree canopy | 58.24% | 27.47% | 14.29% | 91 |
| Birds and wildlife habitat | 15.63% | 40.63% | 43.75% | 32 |
| Ponds, creeks, and other water features | 13.79% | 51.72% | 34.48% | 29 |
| Natural topography (ridges, hills, valleys, etc.) | 9.09% | 15.15% | 75.76% | 33 |
| Limiting the size and/or number of structures on a lot | 51.43% | 28.57% | 20.00% | 70 |
| Continuing to limit development in the floodplain | 31.11% | 37.78% | 31.11% | 45 |
| More open style fencing and less privacy fencing/walls | 30.00% | 32.50% | 37.50% | 40 |

Cherry Hills Village Master Plan Update Second Community Survey

| # | OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) | DATE |
|----|---|--------------------|
| 1 | Prioritizing xeriscaping and reduced water usage | 4/29/2022 8:25 AM |
| 2 | Allow privacy fencing/walls- especially near public trails like the Highline Canal which are available to the public. | 4/22/2022 11:47 AM |
| 3 | The operative is private - I am concerned about the city mandating what people do on their PRIVATE property | 4/21/2022 8:20 AM |
| 4 | Same concern about wildfire prevention | 4/18/2022 8:44 AM |
| 5 | Trails 2 | 4/15/2022 5:19 PM |
| 6 | Current rules for 'auxiliary structures/guest houses' allow for excessively large 2nd structures and objectionably close to property line | 4/15/2022 4:12 PM |
| 7 | less always-on nighttime lighting. I appreciate the need for lights for security, but motion sensors rather than keeping the lights on at all times makes stargazing better | 4/13/2022 2:38 PM |
| 8 | Encourage minimization of impervious surface area. | 4/11/2022 9:13 PM |
| 9 | I think it is atrocious that so many land owners have recently been allowed to cut down all/most of the mature trees on their property when building a home. There should be rules about how many trees can be taken down and if they do remove them, new ones should be required to be planted. I also find it ridiculous that there is a rule that landowners can't put up a wooden 6' privacy fence to shield their backyards from roads. If you are on a corner lot, you should be allowed to put in a 6' fence to create privacy for passing traffic, etc. | 4/8/2022 7:53 AM |
| 10 | Greatly dislike the current fencing rules as they don't evenly apply to all private properties and don't make sense in some cases | 4/4/2022 1:43 PM |
| 11 | Encouraging the limitation of new and existing structures throughout the village | 4/2/2022 1:09 AM |
| 12 | Let's allow big houses on lots, and not limit the number of structures. These houses pay a lot of taxes, and let this community get the revenue, as these people can build anywhere they wish. Why should we be jealous of people who can afford big houses? | 3/31/2022 8:59 PM |

Q4 Feedback from the first round of community input highlighted the importance of preserving views in the Village. Which types of views are the most important to preserve? Please rank your top three choices from the options below (with "1" representing your top choice).

Answered: 118 Skipped: 8



| Rank | | 1 | 2 | 3 | TOTAL |
|---|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|
| Views from public streets such as Quincy and Holly of the mountains | | 51.49% 52 | 9.90% 10 | 38.61% 39 | 101 |
| Views from trails | | 36.19% 38 | 44.76% 47 | 19.05% 20 | 105 |
| Views from parks and open spaces | | 26.79% 30 | 44.64% 50 | 28.57% 32 | 112 |
| Views from non-residential properties (private clubs, churches, or schools) | | 5.56% 1 | 38.89% 7 | 55.56% 10 | 18 |


| # | OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) | DATE |
|---|--|-------------------|
| 1 | views from private homes | 4/29/2022 9:53 AM |
| 2 | Views from streets, but not necessarily of the mountains | 4/29/2022 9:35 AM |
| 3 | The City should continue to do a good job of maintaining the parks and open spaces - period | 4/21/2022 8:20 AM |
| 4 | The large houses block the view for residential neighbors and should be considered in permitting | 4/15/2022 4:12 PM |
| 5 | Eliminate power lines | 4/13/2022 4:29 PM |
| 6 | If you don't like your present view, walk a block. | 4/2/2022 1:09 AM |

Cherry Hills Village Master Plan Update Second Community Survey

| | | |
|---|---|--------------------|
| 7 | Views from residential property | 3/23/2022 11:38 AM |
| 8 | The views from the plains were amazing at one time, that's why the farmers in the 1950s started selling off their land. Those views are pretty much destroyed forever. What we see in the Village as a "view" now, is more of a corridor or a glance. Ridge views are covered with Estates. | 3/23/2022 10:53 AM |

Q5 Feedback from the first round of community input highlighted the importance of mature trees around the Village. The City currently has a tree replacement requirement for trees removed within primary building setbacks when a new home or a major addition to a home is proposed. Knowing that there are established private property rights upheld by the court system and that a more restrictive tree preservation ordinance may require additional step(s) for Village residents, would you support a more restrictive tree preservation ordinance for private properties (i.e. expanding the tree replacement requirements beyond the current limitations)?


Answered: 124 Skipped: 2



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|----|
| Yes | 44.35% | 55 |
| No | 29.03% | 36 |
| I don't know, I need more information | 26.61% | 33 |
| TOTAL | 124 | |

Q6 Feedback from the first round of community input highlighted some concern about the sizes of new homes. Would you be in favor of regulations that would limit the size of new homes in relation to the size of the lot?

Answered: 125 Skipped: 1



| ANSWER CHOICES | | RESPONSES | |
|---|--|-----------|-----|
| Yes | | 46.40% | 58 |
| No | | 15.20% | 19 |
| Maybe (it depends on how regulations are implemented) | | 32.00% | 40 |
| I don't know | | 0.00% | 0 |
| Other (please specify) | | 6.40% | 8 |
| TOTAL | | | 125 |


| # | OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) | DATE |
|---|---|--------------------|
| 1 | Yes, In favor of regs to limit the size AND also limit size and setbacks of additional structures on the lot | 4/15/2022 4:12 PM |
| 2 | this seems to be a view preservation issue; building site can mean more than size of home | 4/11/2022 8:36 AM |
| 3 | Yes, and also assessing historic significance! and removal of mature trees! I'm tired of "The Lorax" approach to these existing lots. History has value, and especially trees when our climate is drier than ever and establishing new trees takes mass amounts of water. | 4/4/2022 11:21 AM |
| 4 | The current bulk plain requirements are confusing, but its my understanding these were put in place to accomplish the size of home in relation to the lot. | 4/4/2022 9:39 AM |
| 5 | Setback requirements should be sufficient | 3/30/2022 11:04 AM |

Cherry Hills Village Master Plan Update Second Community Survey

| | | |
|---|--|--------------------|
| 6 | I would need more information. | 3/24/2022 9:35 AM |
| 7 | It's not clear from the question if the limit would be a minimum or a maximum (i.e., you can't build anything smaller than X, or you can't build anything larger than X) | 3/23/2022 1:17 PM |
| 8 | Don't we already have that? | 3/23/2022 11:38 AM |

Q7 Feedback from the first round of community input highlighted concerns about "cut through traffic". Please rank the following in terms of your biggest concern (if any) as it relates to "cut through traffic" with "1" representing your top choice.

Answered: 113 Skipped: 13



| Rank | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | TOTAL |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------|
| Traffic using Quincy to avoid Belview or Hampden | 66.27% 55 | 28.92% 24 | 4.82% 4 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 83 |
| Traffic using Colorado, Holly, or Clarkson to avoid University, Happy Canyon, or I-25 | 19.72% 14 | 53.52% 38 | 26.76% 19 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 71 |
| Traffic using Mansfield or other local streets to avoid collector streets like Quincy, Colorado, or Holly | 31.58% 18 | 17.54% 10 | 50.88% 29 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 57 |
| Village residents using internal residential streets to travel from one neighborhood to another within the Village | 7.69% 1 | 7.69% 1 | 30.77% 4 | 38.46% 5 | 15.38% 2 | 13 |
| None. Cut through traffic is not a problem. | 85.19% 23 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 14.81% 4 | 27 |


| # | OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) | DATE |
|---|---|-------------------|
| 1 | Cut through traffic on Dahlia driving as if it is an expressway & not a local street especially during commute times & evenings | 4/29/2022 9:57 AM |
| 2 | Clarkson has a lot of speeding..?work with Englewood to add roundabouts | 4/29/2022 8:31 AM |

Cherry Hills Village Master Plan Update Second Community Survey

| | | |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 3 | Dahlia cut through | 4/15/2022 5:22 PM |
| 4 | cut through traffic is a thing but does not seem avoidable as traffic on other larger streets increases | 4/14/2022 10:08 AM |
| 5 | I also am very concerned about the speed of traffic on the major streets: Hampden, University and Belview | 4/13/2022 8:40 PM |
| 6 | I do not see this, so I cannot comment on it. | 4/13/2022 4:33 PM |
| 7 | please lower the speed limit and enforce it, add speed bumps | 4/9/2022 7:22 AM |
| 8 | Cut through traffic on Nassau Circle East from Holly to Hudson | 4/6/2022 9:31 AM |
| 9 | More research/data is needed | 4/2/2022 1:15 AM |
| 10 | Cut through traffic is only for a short time, and if we try and restrict these people, it will only harm our residents that are here all the time. Speed bumps are a good example, they are a nuisance and not a good fit for our community. | 3/31/2022 9:14 PM |
| 11 | I don't have problem with cut through traffic, but I do have a problem with the speed of that traffic | 3/28/2022 9:35 PM |
| 12 | not only is cut-through traffic a problem on Mansfield, the speed at which people travel is still a concern. Even though it is a 25mph zone, we see people speeding on it every single day. | 3/24/2022 9:42 AM |
| 13 | traffic on Dahlia Speedway | 3/23/2022 9:04 PM |
| 14 | Traffic cutting through Happy Canyon Drive from Happy Canyon | 3/23/2022 4:21 PM |
| 15 | Summit/Sterling | 3/23/2022 1:34 PM |

Q8 Feedback from the first round of community input highlighted a desire from the community to improve safety for people walking, biking and on horseback. Recognizing that these are not the only possibilities, please indicate your desired option(s), if any. Illustrations are provided below for clarity.

Answered: 123 Skipped: 3




Cherry Hills Village Master Plan Update Second Community Survey

| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |
|--|-----------|
| None of the above | 9.76% 12 |
|  | |
| Traffic signals at trail crossings of collector streets (that would allow walkers, cyclists, and those on horseback to press a button to stop traffic, for them to cross the street) | 28.46% 35 |
|  | |
| Stop signs for trail users and enhanced crosswalk markings, where trails cross streets | 58.54% 72 |
|  | |
| Speed-activated, electronic signs that inform cyclists of their current speed and whether they are exceeding the speed limit | 23.58% 29 |
|  | |
| Markings on trails to separate bicycle from pedestrian traffic | 33.33% 41 |
| All of the above | 18.70% 23 |
| Other (please specify) | 9.76% 12 |
| Total Respondents: 123 | |

| # | OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) | DATE |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 1 | it seems like enhanced crosswalk markings & electronic signals already exist where the highline crosses roadways - trails are not wide enough to separate bikes from other traffic however bicycle speeds seem to be increasing lately along the highline - maybe increased speed limit signage? | 4/29/2022 9:57 AM |
| 2 | Stop sign for trails might add when traffic present as rolling stops/ yielding on bikes legal | 4/29/2022 8:31 AM |
| 3 | All of these suggestions are awful, given the pastoral description of our community. YUCK! | 4/21/2022 8:26 AM |
| 4 | Keep in unobtrusive | 4/13/2022 4:33 PM |
| 5 | More consistent use of Yield Signs at trail crossings. | 4/11/2022 9:20 PM |
| 6 | give trail users the right of way and require vehicles to stop; part of traffic calming and emphasizes residential character | 4/11/2022 8:41 AM |
| 7 | Need to have traffic signal at University/Quincy better timed to traffic and a protected left when heading East. | 4/4/2022 1:47 PM |
| 8 | underpass across belleview at clarkson for access to the highline canal. | 4/4/2022 11:27 AM |
| 9 | speed humps in addition to the cross walks identified above are additional deterrents to reckless driving and speed. Also barriers to protect non vehicle use (planters, etc) | 4/4/2022 9:44 AM |
| 10 | Non-bikers and casual bikers strongly dislike about half of people actually on bikes around them. | 4/2/2022 1:15 AM |
| 11 | There are no bike lanes, signs to share the road, or bikes may take entire lane in the village! | 3/23/2022 1:34 PM |
| 12 | Pedestrian lights and marked pavement for peds/bikes will draw outsiders in as this being a rec. area like Wash-Park. It also clutters the look of the village which distorts the natural features it is trying to preserve. You don't see traffic lights and significant trail markings in National or State Parks, so if they can manage without, shouldn't CHV be able to do that also? | 3/23/2022 11:09 AM |

Q9 Feedback from the first round of community input highlighted a desire from the community to improve safety for people walking and biking. Recognizing that these are not the only possibilities, which of the following examples related to the design of streets that have adjacent trails do you like? If you like an option, which street(s) do you think are most appropriate for the option (to be noted in the comment box at the end of this question)?

Answered: 108 Skipped: 18



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|--|--|--------------------|
|  | | |
| <p>Option 1: Curb extensions to reduce the distances for pedestrians to cross. If you like this option, which streets in Cherry Hills Village do you think are most appropriate for this option? Potential Pros of this Option: Reduces crossing distance for people crossing the street. Provides a moderate level of traffic calming. Potential Cons of this Option: This option may not address speeding concerns. Potential impact on intersection drainage</p> | 13.89% 15 | |
|  | | |
| <p>Option 2: Concrete curbed separation of trails from travel lanes. If you like this option, which streets in Cherry Hills Village do you think are most appropriate for this option? Potential Pros of this Option: Provides physical separation from motor vehicles. Relatively simple installation. Potential Cons of this Option: Potential impacts on street maintenance and snow plowing. May result in having snow / ice on trails for longer periods of time. Higher costs than having natural separations from vehicular traffic</p> | 8.33% 9 | |
|  | | |
| <p>Option 3: Natural separation of trails from travel lanes. If you like this option, which streets in Cherry Hills Village do you think are most appropriate for this option? Potential Pros of this Option: Provides a buffer from motor vehicles. Relatively low maintenance cost. Potential Cons of this Option: No physical vertical separation from motor vehicle traffic</p> | 64.81% 70 | |
|  | | |
| <p>Option 4: Landscaped median separation of trails from travel lanes. If you like this option, which streets in Cherry Hills Village do you think are most appropriate for this option? Potential Pros of this Option: Provides for physical separation from motor vehicles. Provides opportunities for aesthetic improvements in the median. Potential Cons of this Option: Potential impacts to street maintenance and snow plowing. May result in having snow / ice on trails for longer periods of time. Higher cost than the option with concrete curbed separation from vehicular traffic. The law allows bikes to travel on the street</p> | 19.44% 21 | |
|  | | |
| <p>Option 5: Speed humps. If you like this option, which streets in Cherry Hills Village do you think are most appropriate for this option? Potential Pros of this Option: Effective in reducing speeding. Relatively simple installation. Potential Cons of this Option: Potential impacts on street maintenance and snow plowing. Increased noise (from vehicles crossing speed humps)</p> | 21.30% 23 | |
| None | 17.59% 19 | |
| If you choose one of these options please write here where you'd like to see this option used in the Village (on which streets)? | 31.48% 34 | |
| Total Respondents: 108 | | |
| # | IF YOU CHOOSE ONE OF THESE OPTIONS PLEASE WRITE HERE WHERE YOU'D LIKE TO SEE THIS OPTION USED IN THE VILLAGE (ON WHICH STREETS)? | DATE |
| 1 | Mansfield | 4/29/2022 4:47 PM |
| 2 | I would say Quincy, Holly, and Colorado, but since we already have the natural separation of trails from travel lanes on those streets (though they could certainly be updated and improved!), I would say to put the landscaped median separation on Bellevue and University. (Maybe they could eventually even be installed on a couple of the wider residential neighborhood streets--Oxford, Mansfield, Clarkson, Franklin (?), Layton). | 4/29/2022 12:41 PM |
| 3 | Another pair of landscaped bumpouts along Dahlia would be helpful due to increasing cut through traffic - at the time that the 1st set of bumpouts were installed, 2 additional sets of bumpouts were being considered. | 4/29/2022 9:57 AM |
| 4 | This is already being used on Quincy, Holly, Clarkson and Colorado Blvd where possible. | 4/22/2022 11:55 AM |
| 5 | Speed humps on Quincy - and we must figure out how to keep bicycles/cyclists on the trail on NOT on Quincy Street - | 4/21/2022 8:26 AM |


Cherry Hills Village Master Plan Update Second Community Survey

| | | |
|----|---|--------------------|
| 6 | Mansfield, Dahlia, Holly, Quicky | 4/18/2022 11:32 AM |
| 7 | I don't get why cyclists ride in the street even where we provide a separate paved trail! | 4/18/2022 8:57 AM |
| 8 | Quincy, Holly, Happy Canyon, Dahlia | 4/16/2022 2:30 PM |
| 9 | Dahlia, Quincy and Holly | 4/14/2022 5:41 PM |
| 10 | Dahlia would benefit from all of the options checked, mansfield as well. Quincy needs this on the section between the highline and Kent. | 4/14/2022 2:12 PM |
| 11 | this is a great option on Quincy where it already exists (and there's space for it) and there is higher street traffic | 4/14/2022 10:08 AM |
| 12 | I would like to see the size of Dahlia reduced and a path created to allow foot traffic and bikes to get to the Highline. | 4/13/2022 8:40 PM |
| 13 | Streets just south of Mansfield with smaller lots and many kids playing in the streets. | 4/11/2022 9:20 PM |
| 14 | Dahlia south of Quincy at the crest of the hill just south of the driveway at 4300 S. Dahlia. | 4/11/2022 8:54 PM |
| 15 | I think it's hard to cut and paste solutions to unique trail conditions. this seems a one size fits all question | 4/11/2022 8:41 AM |
| 16 | Quincy | 4/9/2022 7:22 AM |
| 17 | Quincy | 4/7/2022 5:51 AM |
| 18 | Quincy, Clarkson | 4/6/2022 9:02 AM |
| 19 | South Franklin Street between Quincy and Tufts. | 4/4/2022 6:10 PM |
| 20 | Holly & Quincy, Clarkson & Quincy, University & Quincy also needs additional safety features | 4/4/2022 1:47 PM |
| 21 | Clarkson between Bellevue and Hampden | 4/4/2022 1:22 PM |
| 22 | Clarkson and Quincy | 4/4/2022 11:43 AM |
| 23 | along Quincy between Lafayette and University for kids riding bikes to school. | 4/4/2022 11:27 AM |
| 24 | No to Curb extensions, it puts pedestrians, etc, right in front of traffic. No to concrete medians, this does not fit with the rural nature of our village, and is a nightmare to maintain. Snow plows destroy them for example. Not to landscaped medians same reasons. Absolutely no to speed bumps, this does very little good to get people to slow down, they just speed up again in a hurry to get to the next stop sign or traffic light. Plus speed bumps destroy the nature of our semi rural community. Lets not over react to a minor problem. | 3/31/2022 9:14 PM |
| 25 | Concrete curb separation along Quincy between traffic, bicycles, and walkers (bikes use sidewalks and road right now). Option 3 for where we have enough existing space. Option 5 on South Dahlia | 3/28/2022 9:35 PM |
| 26 | Greenwood just REMOVED their Holly curb extensions. Great expense and time to install. Great expense and time to remove. | 3/24/2022 4:16 PM |
| 27 | Mansfield would be great to have this separation (option 3 is my preference but would be ok with option 4 too). I realize not all streets can have this natural separation and I realize it takes up space as well. I have been told that we will never have speed humps on Mansfield b/c it is a fire route? | 3/24/2022 9:42 AM |
| 28 | Dahlia | 3/23/2022 9:04 PM |
| 29 | Quincy, Holly, Clarkson | 3/23/2022 1:34 PM |
| 30 | Quincy | 3/23/2022 12:02 PM |
| 31 | Mansfield, Quincy for separation | 3/23/2022 12:01 PM |
| 32 | Quincy | 3/23/2022 11:27 AM |
| 33 | I like speed humps because they can be added to wherever cut-through traffic and ped crossings are an issue and they're inexpensive | 3/23/2022 11:25 AM |
| 34 | Option 3 is what we have and it seems to work. All the other options are an attempt to make | 3/23/2022 11:09 AM |

us look like the rest of Denver. Let's stay rural-looking. Not realistic, but lets tear up the asphalt on Quincy, Colorado, and Holly and put in two lane wash-board dirt roads like it originally was. That might help keep some traffic out. ;)

Q10 Feedback from the first round of community input indicated that there may be a desire for a universal speed limit for streets that the Village can control. Do you believe there should be a universal speed limit in the Village?

Answered: 112 Skipped: 14



| ANSWER CHOICES | | RESPONSES | |
|--|--|-----------|-----|
| Yes | | 13.39% | 15 |
| No | | 54.46% | 61 |
| If yes, the universal speed limit in Cherry Hills Village should be: | | 32.14% | 36 |
| TOTAL | | | 112 |


| # | IF YES, THE UNIVERSAL SPEED LIMIT IN CHERRY HILLS VILLAGE SHOULD BE: | DATE |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 1 | 25 mph | 4/29/2022 4:47 PM |
| 2 | 30 | 4/29/2022 1:07 PM |
| 3 | 20-25 - however increased enforcement would be needed as posting new signs that are not enforced are meaningless | 4/29/2022 9:57 AM |
| 4 | 25 | 4/29/2022 9:14 AM |
| 5 | 20 - 25 Make it slow so it is inconvenient to traverse CHV. However, this must be enforced! | 4/22/2022 11:55 AM |
| 6 | 30 mph max | 4/18/2022 7:41 PM |
| 7 | 25mph in residential, non through streets | 4/18/2022 11:32 AM |
| 8 | 25-30 | 4/16/2022 2:30 PM |
| 9 | 25 | 4/14/2022 5:41 PM |
| 10 | 25 mph | 4/14/2022 11:54 AM |
| 11 | 35 | 4/14/2022 10:08 AM |
| 12 | 25 | 4/13/2022 6:01 PM |

Cherry Hills Village Master Plan Update Second Community Survey

| | | |
|----|---|--------------------|
| 13 | 35 | 4/13/2022 4:27 PM |
| 14 | 25 | 4/13/2022 4:22 PM |
| 15 | 25mph | 4/13/2022 2:41 PM |
| 16 | 25 mph | 4/11/2022 8:41 AM |
| 17 | 25 MPH (Inverness Drive does it!!) | 4/9/2022 7:22 AM |
| 18 | 25 and actually enforced | 4/7/2022 5:51 AM |
| 19 | 25 | 4/6/2022 5:03 PM |
| 20 | 25 | 4/6/2022 3:14 PM |
| 21 | = or <25 mph, except State Highways (Bellevue, Hampden, University, etc.) | 4/6/2022 2:45 PM |
| 22 | 25 | 4/6/2022 9:38 AM |
| 23 | 30 | 4/6/2022 9:02 AM |
| 24 | 20 mph | 4/5/2022 10:39 AM |
| 25 | 25 | 4/4/2022 8:16 PM |
| 26 | 35 | 4/4/2022 1:47 PM |
| 27 | 15-20 | 4/4/2022 10:02 AM |
| 28 | 23mph | 3/28/2022 9:35 PM |
| 29 | I would need more information. I would initially say 20-25mph but Quincy is different than Mansfield. Quincy doesn't have the same amount of residential driveways as Mansfield. | 3/24/2022 9:42 AM |
| 30 | 25 | 3/23/2022 9:04 PM |
| 31 | 25 | 3/23/2022 1:39 PM |
| 32 | 20 mph on secondary streets | 3/23/2022 1:34 PM |
| 33 | 25mph, certainly no higher than 30 | 3/23/2022 1:22 PM |
| 34 | 25 | 3/23/2022 12:02 PM |
| 35 | I'm forgetting what this is...do you mean the same speed limit on all streets? So if it's 20 in Southmoor Vista, it's 20 on University? Or does this mean CHV "universally" controls all speed limits without any County/state input. | 3/23/2022 11:09 AM |
| 36 | 25 | 3/23/2022 10:37 AM |

Q11 “Enhancement of the ecological health of the parks and waterways” was the most popular improvement desired for parks and open space in the first round of community input. With this perspective in mind, which of the following improvements are you interested in? Please rank the following choices from 1 to 5, with 1 representing your highest ranking:

Answered: 117 Skipped: 9




Cherry Hills Village Master Plan Update Second Community Survey

| Rank | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | TOTAL |
|------|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|
| | Additional tree plantings | 72.15% 57 | 7.59% 6 | 6.33% 5 | 8.86% 7 | 5.06% 4 | 79 |
| | Weed mitigation & reseeding | 20.00% 10 | 32.00% 16 | 30.00% 15 | 10.00% 5 | 8.00% 4 | 50 |
| | Native plantings and wildflowers | 16.88% 13 | 42.86% 33 | 28.57% 22 | 6.49% 5 | 5.19% 4 | 77 |
| | Pollinators in parks (beehives located in fenced areas) | 14.29% 6 | 19.05% 8 | 23.81% 10 | 33.33% 14 | 9.52% 4 | 42 |
| | Waterway improvements (such as stream bank stabilization) | 4.35% 2 | 19.57% 9 | 23.91% 11 | 23.91% 11 | 28.26% 13 | 46 |
| | Wetland improvements | 11.43% 4 | 14.29% 5 | 14.29% 5 | 28.57% 10 | 31.43% 11 | 35 |
| | Wildlife habitat | 13.73% 7 | 25.49% 13 | 27.45% 14 | 13.73% 7 | 19.61% 10 | 51 |
| | All of the above | 87.18% 34 | 2.56% 1 | 5.13% 2 | 0.00% 0 | 5.13% 2 | 39 |

| # | OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) | DATE |
|---|---|--------------------|
| 1 | Please address access to Highline canal from Dahlia at Dahlia Hollow - path is starting to erode & is a complete muddy mess after rains or snowmelt | 4/29/2022 10:01 AM |
| 2 | Weed mitigation seems wrong when they are native plants, but removal of any of the cottonwood trees that have become brittle and dangerous is necessary, given that many are reaching the end of their lifespan. helpful. | 4/4/2022 11:31 AM |
| 3 | They all make sense for different areas. Do what makes sense in the situation. | 3/30/2022 11:10 AM |
| 4 | I would like to see this in all parks and waterways and at Quincy Farm | 3/28/2022 9:37 PM |
| 5 | Periodic benches and benches with shade near playground equipment | 3/24/2022 4:18 PM |

Q12 Open space is defined as (select all that apply):

Answered: 118 Skipped: 8




| ANSWER CHOICES | | RESPONSES | |
|---|--|-----------|-----|
| Publicly owned property that has no improvements. | | 61.86% | 73 |
| Publicly owned property that has minimal improvements (i.e. drainage infrastructure, trails, fences, etc.) | | 90.68% | 107 |
| Privately owned property that has no improvements, but is accessible to the public | | 41.53% | 49 |
| Privately owned property that has no improvements and is not accessible to the public. | | 16.95% | 20 |
| Privately owned property that has minimal improvements (i.e. drainage infrastructure, trails, fences, etc.) and may or may not be open to the public. | | 26.27% | 31 |
| Other (please specify) | | 5.08% | 6 |
| Total Respondents: 118 | | | |

| # | OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) | DATE |
|---|--|--------------------|
| 1 | it is unclear if the question is "legal" viz what the term means now; or "future state" what we want it to be | 4/13/2022 2:42 PM |
| 2 | privately owned property that does not have privacy fencing. | 4/4/2022 11:31 AM |
| 3 | City should focus on public property with private property addressed through zoning. | 3/30/2022 11:10 AM |
| 4 | I could be wrong, but I thought Open Space was defined as public spaces, not privately owned spaces. Would want clarification. | 3/24/2022 9:43 AM |
| 5 | Property accessible to the public that has modest improvements in relation to the size of the space | 3/23/2022 12:24 PM |


Q13 Input from the community has indicated a strong desire to underground utility lines throughout the Village. The cost of undertaking such a project for lines adjacent to public rights-of-way would be substantial (in excess of any project ever undertaken by the City previously). Please rank your preference (1 to 3, with 1 representing your most preferred ranking) for the following options for how to fund the undergrounding of utilities in the Village. Note For Context: The City did a study in 2015 to estimate the cost for undergrounding utility lines adjacent to public rights-of-way. At that time, the costs were estimated at (rounded to the nearest \$100,000):

| | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| Quincy Avenue: \$6.4 million | Mansfield Avenue: \$9 million |
| Colorado Boulevard: \$8.2 million | University Boulevard: \$10.8 million |
| Canyon Road/Clarkson Street: \$12.7 million | Happy Hampden Avenue: \$14.2 million |

Answered: 107 Skipped: 19



Cherry Hills Village Master Plan Update Second Community Survey




| Rank | | 1 | 2 | 3 | TOTAL |
|--|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|
| Levying a new tax on properties in the Village specifically designated to fund the undergrounding of utilities (for example, a 1% increase in property tax on a \$1,000,000 home would result in \$10,000 going towards this effort). If this option is preferred, what time period would be acceptable to complete this project - circle one (1) option: short-term - 5 to 10 years, medium term - 10 to 20 years, long term - 20+ years. | | 52.73% 29 | 14.55% 8 | 32.73% 18 | 55 |
| Committing funds from the City's General Fund on an annual basis to provide for the undergrounding of utilities. Without a new tax, this option would require the reduction of spending in other areas of the General Fund and would take several years to accumulate enough money to perform an undergrounding effort (likely medium to long term). The decision regarding what areas of the General Fund would be reduced would be determined by City Council. | | 32.31% 21 | 56.92% 37 | 10.77% 7 | 65 |
| Periodic use of the 1% funds that are already collected by Xcel Energy that are dedicated to funding undergrounding of utilities in the Village. This option would likely require a project timeline measured in decades. | | 50.00% 36 | 23.61% 17 | 26.39% 19 | 72 |
| None of the above | | 79.17% 19 | 4.17% 1 | 16.67% 4 | 24 |

Cherry Hills Village Master Plan Update Second Community Survey

| Timeline | SHORT-TERM (5-10 YEARS) | MEDIUM TERM (10-20 YEARS) | LONG TERM (20+ YEARS) | TOTAL |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Levying a new tax on properties in the Village specifically designated to fund the undergrounding of utilities (for example, a 1% increase in property tax on a \$1,000,000 home would result in \$10,000 going towards this effort). If this option is preferred, what time period would be acceptable to complete this project - circle one (1) option: short-term - 5 to 10 years, medium term - 10 to 20 years, long term - 20+ years. | 47.50% 19 | 32.50% 13 | 20.00% 8 | 40 |
| Committing funds from the City's General Fund on an annual basis to provide for the undergrounding of utilities. Without a new tax, this option would require the reduction of spending in other areas of the General Fund and would take several years to accumulate enough money to perform an undergrounding effort (likely medium to long term). The decision regarding what areas of the General Fund would be reduced would be determined by City Council. | 35.29% 12 | 38.24% 13 | 26.47% 9 | 34 |
| Periodic use of the 1% funds that are already collected by Xcel Energy that are dedicated to funding undergrounding of utilities in the Village. This option would likely require a project timeline measured in decades. | 44.74% 17 | 21.05% 8 | 34.21% 13 | 38 |
| None of the above | 40.00% 2 | 0.00% 0 | 60.00% 3 | 5 |

Q14 Input from the community has indicated a desire to improve telecommunications in the Village (including cell phone coverage). What options would you favor to improve telecommunications In the Village? (Please select all that apply)

Answered: 111 Skipped: 15



| ANSWER CHOICES | | RESPONSES | |
|--|--|-----------|----|
| Proactive installation of cell towers by the City, at the City's expense, to entice providers to locate in the City | | 26.13% | 29 |
| Having the City provide financial incentives to cell companies to install additional cell towers in the Village, where appropriate | | 45.95% | 51 |
| Coordinate with technology providers to develop strategies to entice them to install high speed fiber around the Village | | 68.47% | 76 |
| None of the above | | 16.22% | 18 |
| Other (please specify) | | 10.81% | 12 |
| Total Respondents: 111 | | | |


| # | OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) | DATE |
|---|---|-------------------|
| 1 | High speed fiber as a city utility service (like Fort Collins is doing with Connexion). | 4/29/2022 9:29 AM |
| 2 | pastoral - Cell phone coverage is just fine | 4/21/2022 8:32 AM |
| 3 | Make it easy to put cell "towers" on rooftops and other existing structures and/or put up "tree towers | 4/18/2022 9:07 AM |
| 4 | It is important to have property owner input regarding cell towers on adjacent right of way | 4/15/2022 4:31 PM |
| 5 | High speed fixed wireless, set up in a mesh ... leverage the small number of poles we have, and adjust rules to allow repeaters on residential property (providers to work out details with the private owners) | 4/13/2022 2:45 PM |

Cherry Hills Village Master Plan Update Second Community Survey

| | | |
|----|---|-------------------|
| 6 | It is a big problem at my home | 4/9/2022 2:56 PM |
| 7 | more micro cells please - coverage on and around Quincy is poor and dangerous | 4/9/2022 7:26 AM |
| 8 | Technology will change and this problem will hopefully resolve itself | 4/4/2022 1:52 PM |
| 9 | No additional coverage needed. | 4/4/2022 1:26 PM |
| 10 | I am adamantly opposed to installing any 5G towers in our city. The safety data does not support these installations and have shown harmful EMF radiation causing cancer and disease. PLEASE DO NOT INSTALL ANY 5G TOWERS IN OLD CHERRY HILLS!!!! | 4/4/2022 10:07 AM |
| 11 | private property owners could also allow towers, if they choose. Maybe work with property owners who would like to do this | 4/4/2022 9:50 AM |
| 12 | Enhanced satellite coverage is preferable to more towers. | 4/2/2022 1:20 AM |

Q15 Which of the following would you favor to improve stormwater management in the Village? (Please choose one option)

Answered: 95 Skipped: 31



| ANSWER CHOICES | | RESPONSES | |
|--|--|-----------|-----------|
| Encourage, through regulations, the installation of stormwater ponds to serve several homes, rather than having stormwater ponds on each residential lot | | 30.53% | 29 |
| Having the City form an entity to levy fees to help manage stormwater across the entire Village | | 16.84% | 16 |
| None of the above | | 40.00% | 38 |
| Other (please specify) | | 12.63% | 12 |
| TOTAL | | | 95 |

| # | OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) | DATE |
|---|---|--------------------|
| 1 | we don't need yet another entity. The Village should provide this function | 4/13/2022 2:45 PM |
| 2 | Village needs to work proactively with Denver Water and Highline Canal Conservancy to responsibly manage storm water using the Highline canal as a collector facility in a manner similar to other jurisdictions. | 4/11/2022 9:39 PM |
| 3 | More natural plantings and less enormous houses. Natural grading, permeable materials, and don't allow manicured lawns through the wetlands. | 4/4/2022 11:42 AM |
| 4 | Triage and prioritize the worse areas committing seasonal projects to improve. not a fan of fees or regulation, unless no other option | 4/4/2022 9:50 AM |
| 5 | Having the City directly levy fees to help manage stormwater across the Village | 4/2/2022 1:20 AM |
| 6 | Is this really a problem? Need more information | 4/1/2022 3:09 PM |
| 7 | Encourage, NOT through regulations, the installation of stormwater ponds to serve several homes, rather than having stormwater ponds on each residential lot. Work with the owners to | 3/30/2022 11:14 AM |


Cherry Hills Village Master Plan Update Second Community Survey

find good, mutually beneficial solutions

| | | |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 8 | Not sure - would need to learn more information and who would be affected by each option | 3/28/2022 9:39 PM |
| 9 | I would need additional details on this. Though if I had to choose, it would be option 1 (though I didn't realize every single home has a stormwater pond?) | 3/24/2022 9:47 AM |
| 10 | enforce flood plain regulations better | 3/23/2022 1:37 PM |
| 11 | both sharing ponds and use of highline canal | 3/23/2022 12:27 PM |
| 12 | I'm not very familiar with this topic. Do the larger properties not want storm water ponds on their property? It seems this might be more of an issue for the Little Dry Creen area that flows out of CHCC towards Clarkson. | 3/23/2022 11:18 AM |

Q16 Would you be in favor of adding regulations to mandate the use of xeriscaping or other water conservation techniques as part of site design and property maintenance to improve water conservation in the Village?

Answered: 117 Skipped: 9



| ANSWER CHOICES | | RESPONSES | |
|------------------------|--|-----------|------------|
| Yes | | 30.77% | 36 |
| No | | 42.74% | 50 |
| I don't know | | 17.95% | 21 |
| Other (please specify) | | 8.55% | 10 |
| TOTAL | | | 117 |


| # | OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) | DATE |
|---|--|--------------------|
| 1 | we need to move toward this--need more info on how it would be reasonably phased in | 4/29/2022 12:53 PM |
| 2 | Education works - The city gets enough money from its' citizens - NO FEES. NO MORE REGULATION | 4/21/2022 8:39 AM |
| 3 | yes within reason, not ridiculously complex/costly | 4/18/2022 11:44 AM |
| 4 | This can also mitigate fire danger | 4/18/2022 9:14 AM |
| 5 | Regulations on new landscaping | 4/15/2022 4:35 PM |
| 6 | while not a big fan of mandates, strong encouragement to dismantle our vast sea of lawns would be desirable. | 4/13/2022 2:51 PM |
| 7 | I favor, but not to the degree lawns are completely gone | 4/11/2022 11:49 AM |
| 8 | Incentives to promote xeriscaping, and City working to insure it is embraced by HOAs | 4/6/2022 3:00 PM |

Cherry Hills Village Master Plan Update Second Community Survey

| | | |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 9 | People need to stop pretending we live on the east coast. This is a drought state. | 4/4/2022 11:46 AM |
| 10 | Yes, and-- I'd love to stop dumping so much water/money on my lawn, but the neighborhood would be offended. Of course, low watering means a lot of those trees you want for the tree canopy will die in neighborhoods. | 3/23/2022 11:33 AM |

Q17 Would you be in favor of adding incentives (i.e. expedited plan review or alternative drainage requirements) to encourage the use of xeriscaping or other water conservation techniques as part of site design and property maintenance to improve water conservation?

Answered: 116 Skipped: 10




| ANSWER CHOICES | | RESPONSES |
|------------------------|--|------------|
| Yes | | 58.62% |
| No | | 22.41% |
| I don't know | | 17.24% |
| Other (please specify) | | 1.72% |
| TOTAL | | 116 |

| # | OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) | DATE |
|---|--|-------------------|
| 1 | Education works - other than that, City, "stay in your lane" - I don't want my tax dollars paying for "incentives" | 4/21/2022 8:39 AM |
| 2 | consider providing trash & recycle services for all CHV residents | 4/13/2022 4:04 PM |

Q18 I am in favor of the following options for the City to pursue, to help reduce the environmental footprint of the Village. Please select all that apply.

Answered: 115 Skipped: 11



| ANSWER CHOICES | | RESPONSES | |
|---|--|-----------|----|
| Additional regulations in the City's Code(s) related to the use of green building techniques (such as the inclusion of renewable energy features, the reuse of building materials, and the use of non-toxic building materials) | | 29.57% | 34 |
| Having the City provide incentives (i.e. lower permit fees or expedited plan review) to encourage the use of green building techniques (i.e. solar, energy efficient/rated HVAC, etc.) | | 66.96% | 77 |
| None of the above | | 27.83% | 32 |
| Other (please specify) | | 8.70% | 10 |
| Total Respondents: 115 | | | |


| # | OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) | DATE |
|---|---|--------------------|
| 1 | Education works for your intelligent citizenry - I do NOT want my tax dollars going to "incentives" and "green" | 4/21/2022 8:39 AM |
| 2 | This is a non issue in CHV. | 4/13/2022 4:40 PM |
| 3 | consider providing trash & recycle services for all CHV residents | 4/13/2022 4:04 PM |
| 4 | HOA's may (or at least try) to restrict various technologies (solar panels for example). The Village should override any such backwards thinking | 4/13/2022 2:51 PM |
| 5 | Educational programs to encourage residents to learn about environmental improvements they can do to their own properties to reduce environmental footprint and mitigate climate impacts. | 4/11/2022 9:50 PM |
| 6 | Prohibit commercial use of gas powered leaf blowers | 4/11/2022 11:49 AM |

Cherry Hills Village Master Plan Update Second Community Survey

| | | |
|----|--|-------------------|
| 7 | add a solar requirement for new construction and major modifications | 4/9/2022 7:29 AM |
| 8 | City's use of electric vehicles | 4/6/2022 3:00 PM |
| 9 | As an architect, I know green building is expensive. CHV is the place to be able to mandate it, since the community has the funds to be able to invest. And the opportunity to showcase how well they can be used. | 4/4/2022 11:46 AM |
| 10 | Though doesn't the 2nd option only apply to new builds? Not clear on how we would incentivize owners of existing homes? | 3/24/2022 9:52 AM |

Q19 I am interested in the following options for the City to pursue, to enhance the resiliency of the Village. Please rank your top 3 options (with 1 representing your top choice).

Answered: 101 Skipped: 25



| Rank | | 1 | 2 | 3 | TOTAL |
|--|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|
| Work with the South Metro Fire District to explore and/or develop policies and regulations to manage fire risk | | 63.33% 38 | 28.33% 17 | 8.33% 5 | 60 |
| Continuing to educate landowners in floodplain areas about potential risks | | 18.42% 7 | 39.47% 15 | 42.11% 16 | 38 |
| Reducing the water usage of City operations | | 40.54% 15 | 32.43% 12 | 27.03% 10 | 37 |
| Continue to coordinate with Arapahoe County as part of the County's Hazard Mitigation Plan, which addresses threats from natural disasters, as opposed to developing the City's own Plan | | 44.78% 30 | 35.82% 24 | 19.40% 13 | 67 |
| None of the above | | 86.67% 13 | 6.67% 1 | 6.67% 1 | 15 |


| # | OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) | DATE |
|---|--|-------------------|
| 1 | What does "enhance the resiliency of the Village" mean ? | 4/21/2022 8:39 AM |
| 2 | consider providing trash & recycle services for all CHV residents | 4/13/2022 4:04 PM |
| 3 | Village needs to develop its own storm water management and fire protection plan in addition to the above. | 4/11/2022 9:50 PM |
| 4 | Cherry Hills CC should be encouraged to use "greener" sprinkler systems. The amount of water they use is staggering! | 4/4/2022 1:55 PM |

Cherry Hills Village Master Plan Update Second Community Survey

| | | |
|---|---|-------------------|
| 5 | What kind of question is this, what does the word resiliency mean, it sounds like nonsense, | 3/31/2022 9:28 PM |
| 6 | All of these sound valid? Need more information. | 3/24/2022 9:52 AM |

Q20 Do you support the installation of electrical vehicle (EV) charging station(s) at City Hall or other publicly owned areas, where appropriate?

Answered: 118 Skipped: 8



| ANSWER CHOICES | | RESPONSES | |
|--------------------------------|--|-----------|------------|
| Yes | | 38.14% | 45 |
| No | | 31.36% | 37 |
| Maybe, depends on the location | | 21.19% | 25 |
| Other (please specify) | | 9.32% | 11 |
| TOTAL | | | 118 |


| # | OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) | DATE |
|---|--|--------------------|
| 1 | depends on cost | 4/29/2022 10:09 AM |
| 2 | Maybe, depends on the cost | 4/29/2022 9:51 AM |
| 3 | If the City procures some EVs for the City's use, yes. If not, anyone who has the wherewithal to live in the village and buy an EV has the ability to charge at home. | 4/13/2022 2:51 PM |
| 4 | Let's encourage Villagers to move into the 21st Century. It won't be easy. | 4/11/2022 9:50 PM |
| 5 | Charging stations for City employee and City owned vehicles at City Hall and Public Safety Bldg. | 4/6/2022 3:00 PM |
| 6 | Not at the cities cost. Again, the technology will quickly change and the investment will be lost. | 4/4/2022 1:55 PM |
| 7 | Being that CHV doesn't have any commercial areas other than city hall, it doesn't seem beneficial for the community. And potentially brings in more traffic from non-residents that need a quick charge. | 4/4/2022 11:46 AM |

Cherry Hills Village Master Plan Update Second Community Survey

| | | |
|----|---|--------------------|
| 8 | Not at all? | 4/4/2022 10:09 AM |
| 9 | Electrical charging stations should have a fee to those who use it. The village should not pay for other people's utilities. If there is a fee to the user, I am in favor of installing them. | 3/24/2022 4:37 PM |
| 10 | Maybe, but not sure it is a huge need? This is a very low priority. If someone in the village has an EV, why would they need a charge at the City Hall. | 3/24/2022 9:52 AM |
| 11 | No. Unless the user has to pay a fee to use the electricity flowing into their car. I don't get to park at City Hall while petrol trickles into my car for free. | 3/23/2022 11:33 AM |

Q21 Should the City provide educational tools (i.e. online sessions, workshops, guest speakers, etc.) on the topics of Sustainability/Resiliency?

Answered: 116 Skipped: 10




| ANSWER CHOICES | | RESPONSES | |
|--------------------------------|--|-----------|------------|
| Yes | | 25.86% | 30 |
| No | | 37.07% | 43 |
| Maybe, I need more information | | 31.03% | 36 |
| Other (please specify) | | 6.03% | 7 |
| TOTAL | | | 116 |

| # | OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) | DATE |
|---|---|--------------------|
| 1 | Yes, unless resident participation turns out to be weak | 4/25/2022 7:36 PM |
| 2 | Great idea ! | 4/11/2022 9:50 PM |
| 3 | No, because there are several organizations already providing this in the area | 4/4/2022 1:55 PM |
| 4 | Not needed, people interested in this already know about their options. | 3/31/2022 9:28 PM |
| 5 | Yes, though not a huge priority. | 3/24/2022 9:52 AM |
| 6 | No - some other organization is better suited for that | 3/23/2022 12:29 PM |
| 7 | No, -- I tend to think most people in the village are too busy/self involved for this. If they want it, it already exists online. | 3/23/2022 11:33 AM |

Q22 Should the City provide and/or coordinate a composting option to Village residents?

Answered: 118 Skipped: 8



| ANSWER CHOICES | | RESPONSES | |
|---|--|-----------|------------|
| Yes, but the program should be solely run by the City | | 8.47% | 10 |
| Yes, but the City should explore a public/private partnership with a private composting company | | 30.51% | 36 |
| No. If residents want a composting option they can either do it themselves or coordinate with a private company | | 30.51% | 36 |
| Maybe, I need more information | | 19.49% | 23 |
| Other (please specify) | | 11.02% | 13 |
| TOTAL | | | 118 |

| # | OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) | DATE |
|---|---|--------------------|
| 1 | depends on cost | 4/29/2022 10:09 AM |
| 2 | City should consider a city-wide contract with a single provider for compost, recycling and trash. Currently we have trash trucks in my neighborhood 3 or 4 days a week! Do the haulers pay the city for damage to streets! | 4/18/2022 9:14 AM |
| 3 | YES YES YES, would even be willing to pay a small fee for this service and I would also like to see a Village contract with a trash removal service | 4/14/2022 2:17 PM |
| 4 | Yes, if it was a mutual cost/benefit situation between city and residents. | 4/14/2022 10:14 AM |
| 5 | City should provide trash service, as well as public/private partnership for composting | 4/13/2022 4:41 PM |
| 6 | consider providing trash & recycle services for all CHV residents | 4/13/2022 4:04 PM |
| 7 | Composting naturally results in large amounts of methane production. IF we are to have a group composting operation (private or public) we should take care to ensure that the methane doesn't go into the atmosphere nor (if the facility is local to the village) be malodorous | 4/13/2022 2:51 PM |

Cherry Hills Village Master Plan Update Second Community Survey

| | | |
|----|---|--------------------|
| 8 | no in-city facility please | 4/9/2022 7:29 AM |
| 9 | Yes, we had it in our former city and it worked wonderfully, including free mulch and soil. | 4/4/2022 1:55 PM |
| 10 | Yes but open to private or city. Would love an option as we have to pay for a composting service now bc CHV doesn't have a program | 4/4/2022 12:38 PM |
| 11 | i think the private public option could be good, but expense should be burden of participating homeowners, not the city | 4/4/2022 9:53 AM |
| 12 | Work with a private company(ies) to bring services to CHV - not run by the City | 3/23/2022 12:29 PM |
| 13 | Maybe...I compost and return it to my yard and gardens. But, I know most of my neighbors just throw it out or put it down the disposal---which is such an expensive waste of energy. Most yard clean-up is by outside vendors/contractors, so they either dump it or compost it somewhere. I wish we had a recycle program like Denver, but realize that is included in their taxes. Recyclables are the biggest problem for me. There's really no such thing as "trash" when we do things right. | 3/23/2022 11:33 AM |

Q23 Including yourself, how many people live in your household?

Answered: 111 Skipped: 15

| # | RESPONSES | DATE |
|----|-----------|--------------------|
| 1 | 4 | 4/29/2022 4:07 PM |
| 2 | 2 | 4/29/2022 1:18 PM |
| 3 | 3 | 4/29/2022 12:54 PM |
| 4 | 5 | 4/29/2022 11:57 AM |
| 5 | 2 | 4/29/2022 10:45 AM |
| 6 | 2 | 4/29/2022 10:12 AM |
| 7 | 3 | 4/29/2022 10:10 AM |
| 8 | 4 | 4/29/2022 9:53 AM |
| 9 | 4 | 4/29/2022 9:35 AM |
| 10 | 4 | 4/29/2022 8:58 AM |
| 11 | 4 | 4/29/2022 8:56 AM |
| 12 | 2 | 4/29/2022 8:38 AM |
| 13 | 3 | 4/25/2022 7:37 PM |
| 14 | 2 | 4/22/2022 12:09 PM |
| 15 | 3 | 4/21/2022 8:40 AM |
| 16 | 4 | 4/18/2022 11:45 AM |
| 17 | 2 | 4/18/2022 10:32 AM |
| 18 | 2 | 4/18/2022 9:15 AM |
| 19 | 2 | 4/18/2022 9:14 AM |
| 20 | 4 | 4/16/2022 2:36 PM |
| 21 | 4 | 4/15/2022 10:02 PM |
| 22 | 4 | 4/15/2022 6:16 PM |
| 23 | 2 | 4/15/2022 5:26 PM |
| 24 | 2 | 4/15/2022 4:36 PM |
| 25 | 2 | 4/15/2022 3:32 PM |
| 26 | 4 | 4/15/2022 8:39 AM |
| 27 | 1 | 4/14/2022 5:48 PM |
| 28 | 2 | 4/14/2022 5:38 PM |
| 29 | 2 | 4/14/2022 4:31 PM |
| 30 | 6 | 4/14/2022 2:17 PM |
| 31 | 2 | 4/14/2022 12:02 PM |
| 32 | 2 | 4/14/2022 11:20 AM |
| 33 | 5 | 4/14/2022 10:19 AM |

Cherry Hills Village Master Plan Update Second Community Survey

| | | |
|----|---|--------------------|
| 34 | 4 | 4/14/2022 10:15 AM |
| 35 | 3 | 4/13/2022 9:53 PM |
| 36 | 4 | 4/13/2022 8:52 PM |
| 37 | 3 | 4/13/2022 8:34 PM |
| 38 | 2 | 4/13/2022 7:54 PM |
| 39 | 3 | 4/13/2022 6:14 PM |
| 40 | 2 | 4/13/2022 4:42 PM |
| 41 | 3 | 4/13/2022 4:41 PM |
| 42 | 4 | 4/13/2022 4:34 PM |
| 43 | 5 | 4/13/2022 4:26 PM |
| 44 | 4 | 4/13/2022 4:04 PM |
| 45 | 2 | 4/13/2022 4:02 PM |
| 46 | 3 | 4/13/2022 3:58 PM |
| 47 | 4 | 4/13/2022 2:52 PM |
| 48 | 2 | 4/11/2022 9:51 PM |
| 49 | 2 | 4/11/2022 9:01 PM |
| 50 | 3 | 4/11/2022 6:14 PM |
| 51 | 2 | 4/11/2022 11:50 AM |
| 52 | 4 | 4/11/2022 9:48 AM |
| 53 | 2 | 4/9/2022 3:00 PM |
| 54 | 2 | 4/9/2022 7:29 AM |
| 55 | 4 | 4/8/2022 8:04 AM |
| 56 | 2 | 4/8/2022 7:57 AM |
| 57 | 5 | 4/7/2022 7:38 PM |
| 58 | 2 | 4/7/2022 4:11 PM |
| 59 | 2 | 4/7/2022 6:07 AM |
| 60 | 2 | 4/6/2022 5:03 PM |
| 61 | 2 | 4/6/2022 3:29 PM |
| 62 | 3 | 4/6/2022 3:00 PM |
| 63 | 2 | 4/6/2022 10:16 AM |
| 64 | 4 | 4/6/2022 9:43 AM |
| 65 | 4 | 4/6/2022 9:42 AM |
| 66 | 3 | 4/6/2022 9:25 AM |
| 67 | 2 | 4/6/2022 9:12 AM |
| 68 | 2 | 4/6/2022 8:59 AM |
| 69 | 3 | 4/5/2022 10:43 AM |
| 70 | 6 | 4/4/2022 8:24 PM |
| 71 | 4 | 4/4/2022 6:51 PM |

Cherry Hills Village Master Plan Update Second Community Survey


| | | |
|-----|-----|--------------------|
| 72 | 4 | 4/4/2022 6:37 PM |
| 73 | 4 | 4/4/2022 6:16 PM |
| 74 | 4 | 4/4/2022 5:38 PM |
| 75 | 4 | 4/4/2022 1:56 PM |
| 76 | 4 | 4/4/2022 1:29 PM |
| 77 | 5 | 4/4/2022 1:02 PM |
| 78 | 4 | 4/4/2022 12:52 PM |
| 79 | 4 | 4/4/2022 12:38 PM |
| 80 | 4 | 4/4/2022 11:48 AM |
| 81 | 4 | 4/4/2022 11:47 AM |
| 82 | 5 | 4/4/2022 10:10 AM |
| 83 | 3 | 4/4/2022 9:54 AM |
| 84 | 4 | 4/4/2022 9:17 AM |
| 85 | 2 | 4/2/2022 1:24 AM |
| 86 | 2 | 4/1/2022 3:11 PM |
| 87 | 4 | 3/31/2022 9:29 PM |
| 88 | 2 | 3/30/2022 11:19 AM |
| 89 | 2 | 3/29/2022 8:05 AM |
| 90 | 5 | 3/29/2022 7:44 AM |
| 91 | 4 | 3/28/2022 9:41 PM |
| 92 | 2 | 3/24/2022 4:38 PM |
| 93 | 1 | 3/24/2022 12:46 PM |
| 94 | 2 | 3/24/2022 11:05 AM |
| 95 | 5 | 3/24/2022 9:52 AM |
| 96 | 5 | 3/23/2022 4:26 PM |
| 97 | 6 | 3/23/2022 3:21 PM |
| 98 | 2 | 3/23/2022 3:11 PM |
| 99 | 2 | 3/23/2022 2:09 PM |
| 100 | 2 | 3/23/2022 1:58 PM |
| 101 | 5 | 3/23/2022 1:43 PM |
| 102 | 2 | 3/23/2022 1:41 PM |
| 103 | 3 | 3/23/2022 1:32 PM |
| 104 | 2 | 3/23/2022 1:16 PM |
| 105 | 2 | 3/23/2022 12:59 PM |
| 106 | 4 | 3/23/2022 12:30 PM |
| 107 | 2 | 3/23/2022 12:09 PM |
| 108 | 2 | 3/23/2022 11:42 AM |
| 109 | one | 3/23/2022 11:42 AM |

Cherry Hills Village Master Plan Update Second Community Survey

| | | |
|-----|-----|--------------------|
| 110 | 2 | 3/23/2022 11:01 AM |
| 111 | one | 3/23/2022 10:55 AM |

Q24 Including yourself, how many people are in your household, for each of the following age ranges?

Answered: 111 Skipped: 15



Cherry Hills Village Master Plan Update Second Community Survey

| Number | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | TOTAL |
|---------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------|
| Under 5 years | 28.57% 2 | 57.14% 4 | 14.29% 1 | 0.00% 0 | 7 |
| 5 – 9 years | 9.52% 2 | 52.38% 11 | 38.10% 8 | 0.00% 0 | 21 |
| 10 – 14 years | 7.14% 2 | 39.29% 11 | 53.57% 15 | 0.00% 0 | 28 |
| 15 – 19 years | 4.55% 1 | 45.45% 10 | 45.45% 10 | 4.55% 1 | 0.00% 0 | 22 |
| 20 – 24 years | 10.00% 1 | 70.00% 7 | 20.00% 2 | 0.00% 0 | 10 |
| 25 – 34 years | 20.00% 1 | 80.00% 4 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 5 |
| 35 – 44 years | 8.00% 2 | 36.00% 9 | 56.00% 14 | 0.00% 0 | 25 |
| 45 – 54 years | 2.56% 1 | 33.33% 13 | 64.10% 25 | 0.00% 0 | 39 |
| 55 – 59 years | 8.33% 1 | 41.67% 5 | 50.00% 6 | 0.00% 0 | 12 |
| 60 – 64 years | 5.88% 1 | 47.06% 8 | 47.06% 8 | 0.00% 0 | 17 |
| 65 + years | 4.44% 2 | 20.00% 9 | 75.56% 34 | 0.00% 0 | 45 |

Q25 What is your age?

Answered: 104 Skipped: 22

| # | RESPONSES | DATE |
|----|-----------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | 49 | 4/29/2022 4:07 PM |
| 2 | 68 | 4/29/2022 1:18 PM |
| 3 | 59 | 4/29/2022 12:54 PM |
| 4 | 45 | 4/29/2022 11:57 AM |
| 5 | 58 | 4/29/2022 10:45 AM |
| 6 | 72 | 4/29/2022 9:53 AM |
| 7 | 42 | 4/29/2022 9:35 AM |
| 8 | 37 | 4/29/2022 8:58 AM |
| 9 | 46 | 4/29/2022 8:56 AM |
| 10 | 62 | 4/29/2022 8:38 AM |
| 11 | 70 | 4/25/2022 7:37 PM |
| 12 | 66 | 4/22/2022 12:09 PM |
| 13 | None of your business | 4/21/2022 8:40 AM |
| 14 | 61 | 4/18/2022 11:45 AM |
| 15 | 74 | 4/18/2022 10:32 AM |
| 16 | 73 | 4/18/2022 9:15 AM |
| 17 | 73 | 4/18/2022 9:14 AM |
| 18 | 52 | 4/16/2022 2:36 PM |
| 19 | 46 | 4/15/2022 10:02 PM |
| 20 | 69 | 4/15/2022 5:26 PM |
| 21 | 65 | 4/15/2022 4:36 PM |
| 22 | 75 | 4/15/2022 3:32 PM |
| 23 | 50 | 4/15/2022 8:39 AM |
| 24 | 74 | 4/14/2022 5:48 PM |
| 25 | 75 | 4/14/2022 5:38 PM |
| 26 | 79 | 4/14/2022 4:31 PM |
| 27 | 43 | 4/14/2022 2:17 PM |
| 28 | 65 | 4/14/2022 12:02 PM |
| 29 | 67 | 4/14/2022 11:20 AM |
| 30 | 37 | 4/14/2022 10:19 AM |
| 31 | 51 | 4/14/2022 10:15 AM |
| 32 | 68 | 4/13/2022 9:53 PM |
| 33 | 60 | 4/13/2022 8:52 PM |

Cherry Hills Village Master Plan Update Second Community Survey

| | | |
|----|----|--------------------|
| 34 | 58 | 4/13/2022 8:34 PM |
| 35 | 53 | 4/13/2022 6:14 PM |
| 36 | 72 | 4/13/2022 4:42 PM |
| 37 | 53 | 4/13/2022 4:41 PM |
| 38 | 50 | 4/13/2022 4:34 PM |
| 39 | 42 | 4/13/2022 4:26 PM |
| 40 | 47 | 4/13/2022 4:04 PM |
| 41 | 80 | 4/13/2022 4:02 PM |
| 42 | 53 | 4/13/2022 3:58 PM |
| 43 | 61 | 4/13/2022 2:52 PM |
| 44 | 65 | 4/11/2022 9:51 PM |
| 45 | 72 | 4/11/2022 9:01 PM |
| 46 | 39 | 4/11/2022 6:14 PM |
| 47 | 73 | 4/11/2022 11:50 AM |
| 48 | 51 | 4/11/2022 9:48 AM |
| 49 | 62 | 4/9/2022 7:29 AM |
| 50 | 48 | 4/8/2022 8:04 AM |
| 51 | 79 | 4/8/2022 7:57 AM |
| 52 | 42 | 4/7/2022 7:38 PM |
| 53 | 80 | 4/7/2022 4:11 PM |
| 54 | 61 | 4/7/2022 6:07 AM |
| 55 | 51 | 4/6/2022 5:05 PM |
| 56 | 69 | 4/6/2022 5:03 PM |
| 57 | 69 | 4/6/2022 3:29 PM |
| 58 | 70 | 4/6/2022 3:00 PM |
| 59 | 55 | 4/6/2022 10:16 AM |
| 60 | 44 | 4/6/2022 9:43 AM |
| 61 | 57 | 4/6/2022 9:42 AM |
| 62 | 47 | 4/6/2022 9:25 AM |
| 63 | 76 | 4/6/2022 9:12 AM |
| 64 | 70 | 4/6/2022 8:59 AM |
| 65 | 59 | 4/5/2022 10:43 AM |
| 66 | 39 | 4/4/2022 8:24 PM |
| 67 | 47 | 4/4/2022 6:51 PM |
| 68 | 46 | 4/4/2022 6:37 PM |
| 69 | 45 | 4/4/2022 5:38 PM |
| 70 | 47 | 4/4/2022 1:56 PM |
| 71 | 47 | 4/4/2022 1:29 PM |

Cherry Hills Village Master Plan Update Second Community Survey

| | | |
|-----|---------|--------------------|
| 72 | 48 | 4/4/2022 1:02 PM |
| 73 | 48 | 4/4/2022 12:52 PM |
| 74 | 43 | 4/4/2022 12:38 PM |
| 75 | 38 | 4/4/2022 11:48 AM |
| 76 | 43 | 4/4/2022 11:47 AM |
| 77 | 41 | 4/4/2022 10:10 AM |
| 78 | 43 | 4/4/2022 9:54 AM |
| 79 | 41 | 4/4/2022 9:17 AM |
| 80 | 39 | 4/2/2022 1:24 AM |
| 81 | 67 | 4/1/2022 3:11 PM |
| 82 | 66 | 3/30/2022 11:19 AM |
| 83 | 63 | 3/29/2022 8:05 AM |
| 84 | 50 | 3/29/2022 7:44 AM |
| 85 | 43 | 3/28/2022 9:41 PM |
| 86 | 75 | 3/24/2022 4:38 PM |
| 87 | 57 | 3/24/2022 12:46 PM |
| 88 | 74 | 3/24/2022 11:05 AM |
| 89 | 51 | 3/24/2022 9:52 AM |
| 90 | 44 | 3/23/2022 4:26 PM |
| 91 | 42 | 3/23/2022 3:21 PM |
| 92 | 61 | 3/23/2022 3:11 PM |
| 93 | 57 | 3/23/2022 2:09 PM |
| 94 | 75 | 3/23/2022 1:58 PM |
| 95 | 42 | 3/23/2022 1:43 PM |
| 96 | 80 | 3/23/2022 1:41 PM |
| 97 | over 60 | 3/23/2022 1:16 PM |
| 98 | 78 | 3/23/2022 12:59 PM |
| 99 | 50 | 3/23/2022 12:30 PM |
| 100 | 70 | 3/23/2022 12:09 PM |
| 101 | 77 | 3/23/2022 11:42 AM |
| 102 | 54 | 3/23/2022 11:42 AM |
| 103 | 83 | 3/23/2022 11:01 AM |
| 104 | 89 | 3/23/2022 10:55 AM |

Q26 I live: If you know, the name of your neighborhood is (i.e. Cherry Hills Farms, Buell, Cherry Hills East, etc.):

Answered: 100 Skipped: 26

| # | RESPONSES | DATE |
|----|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | old cherry hills | 4/29/2022 4:07 PM |
| 2 | Cherry hills ranch | 4/29/2022 1:18 PM |
| 3 | Cherry Hills East | 4/29/2022 12:54 PM |
| 4 | Buell | 4/29/2022 11:57 AM |
| 5 | Cherry Hills East | 4/29/2022 10:45 AM |
| 6 | Old Cherry Hills | 4/29/2022 10:12 AM |
| 7 | Mansfield Heights | 4/29/2022 10:10 AM |
| 8 | Viking | 4/29/2022 9:53 AM |
| 9 | Old cherry hills | 4/29/2022 8:56 AM |
| 10 | Cherry Hills Farm | 4/25/2022 7:37 PM |
| 11 | Smith's Gardens | 4/22/2022 12:09 PM |
| 12 | Cherry Hills North | 4/18/2022 11:45 AM |
| 13 | Old Cherry Hills | 4/18/2022 9:15 AM |
| 14 | Old Cherry Hills | 4/18/2022 9:14 AM |
| 15 | Cherry Hills East | 4/16/2022 2:36 PM |
| 16 | Cherrymore South | 4/15/2022 10:02 PM |
| 17 | Cherry Hills East | 4/15/2022 6:16 PM |
| 18 | Cherry Hills East | 4/15/2022 5:26 PM |
| 19 | Lynn Road | 4/15/2022 4:36 PM |
| 20 | Cherry Hills East | 4/15/2022 3:32 PM |
| 21 | East | 4/15/2022 8:39 AM |
| 22 | Cherry Hills East | 4/14/2022 5:48 PM |
| 23 | Canitoe | 4/14/2022 4:31 PM |
| 24 | Cherry Hills East | 4/14/2022 2:17 PM |
| 25 | Cherry Hills East | 4/14/2022 12:02 PM |
| 26 | Cherry Hills East | 4/14/2022 11:20 AM |
| 27 | Cherry Hills | 4/14/2022 10:19 AM |
| 28 | Cherry Hills East | 4/14/2022 10:15 AM |
| 29 | Cherry Hills North | 4/14/2022 2:56 AM |
| 30 | Old Cherry Hills | 4/13/2022 9:53 PM |
| 31 | East Belleview Subdiviision | 4/13/2022 8:52 PM |

Cherry Hills Village Master Plan Update Second Community Survey


| | | |
|----|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 32 | Cherry Hills East | 4/13/2022 8:34 PM |
| 33 | cherry hills village | 4/13/2022 6:14 PM |
| 34 | Glenmoor | 4/13/2022 4:42 PM |
| 35 | Cherry Hills East | 4/13/2022 4:41 PM |
| 36 | Cherry hills east | 4/13/2022 4:34 PM |
| 37 | Cherry Hills East | 4/13/2022 4:26 PM |
| 38 | East | 4/13/2022 4:04 PM |
| 39 | Vllage Heights | 4/13/2022 4:02 PM |
| 40 | Cherry hills East | 4/13/2022 3:58 PM |
| 41 | Cherry Hills North | 4/13/2022 2:52 PM |
| 42 | East Cherry Hills Annex | 4/11/2022 9:51 PM |
| 43 | 4300 South Dahlia | 4/11/2022 9:01 PM |
| 44 | Pheasant Ridge | 4/11/2022 6:14 PM |
| 45 | CH East | 4/11/2022 11:50 AM |
| 46 | old cherry hills | 4/11/2022 9:48 AM |
| 47 | Cherrydale | 4/9/2022 3:00 PM |
| 48 | Cherry Dale | 4/9/2022 7:29 AM |
| 49 | Old Cherry Hills | 4/8/2022 8:04 AM |
| 50 | Devonshire | 4/7/2022 7:38 PM |
| 51 | Oxford plat | 4/7/2022 4:11 PM |
| 52 | Cherrydale | 4/7/2022 6:07 AM |
| 53 | CHVE | 4/6/2022 5:05 PM |
| 54 | East Bellevue Subdivision | 4/6/2022 5:03 PM |
| 55 | Bellevue Subdivision -Albion Street | 4/6/2022 3:29 PM |
| 56 | Cherry Hills Farm | 4/6/2022 3:00 PM |
| 57 | Cherry Hills East | 4/6/2022 10:16 AM |
| 58 | Cherry Hills North | 4/6/2022 9:43 AM |
| 59 | cherry hills north | 4/6/2022 9:42 AM |
| 60 | Southmoor Vista | 4/6/2022 9:25 AM |
| 61 | Mansfield Heights | 4/6/2022 9:12 AM |
| 62 | old cherry hills | 4/6/2022 8:59 AM |
| 63 | Old Cherry Hills | 4/5/2022 10:43 AM |
| 64 | Cherrymoor | 4/4/2022 8:24 PM |
| 65 | Cherryhills farm west | 4/4/2022 6:51 PM |
| 66 | Old Cherry Hills | 4/4/2022 6:37 PM |
| 67 | Old CHV | 4/4/2022 6:16 PM |
| 68 | Old Cherry Hills | 4/4/2022 5:38 PM |
| 69 | Cherrymoor | 4/4/2022 1:56 PM |

Cherry Hills Village Master Plan Update Second Community Survey

| | | |
|-----|--------------------------|--------------------|
| 70 | Old cherry hills | 4/4/2022 1:29 PM |
| 71 | Old Cherry Hills | 4/4/2022 1:02 PM |
| 72 | Old Cherry Hills | 4/4/2022 12:52 PM |
| 73 | Old CHV | 4/4/2022 12:38 PM |
| 74 | Old Cherry Hills Village | 4/4/2022 11:48 AM |
| 75 | Old Cherry Hills | 4/4/2022 11:47 AM |
| 76 | Old Cherry Hills Village | 4/4/2022 10:10 AM |
| 77 | Cherryridge | 4/4/2022 9:54 AM |
| 78 | Mansfield Heights | 4/4/2022 9:17 AM |
| 79 | Cherry Hills Village | 4/2/2022 1:24 AM |
| 80 | Old Cherry Hills | 4/1/2022 3:11 PM |
| 81 | Buell | 3/30/2022 11:19 AM |
| 82 | Off Vista Road | 3/29/2022 7:44 AM |
| 83 | Cherry Hills East | 3/28/2022 9:41 PM |
| 84 | Charlou | 3/24/2022 4:38 PM |
| 85 | Old Cherry Hills | 3/24/2022 11:05 AM |
| 86 | Mansfield Heights | 3/24/2022 9:52 AM |
| 87 | Cherry Hills Village | 3/23/2022 4:26 PM |
| 88 | Cherry Hills Farm | 3/23/2022 3:11 PM |
| 89 | Fairlea Farms | 3/23/2022 2:09 PM |
| 90 | Charlou | 3/23/2022 1:58 PM |
| 91 | Devonshire Heights | 3/23/2022 1:43 PM |
| 92 | Cherry Vale Acres ? | 3/23/2022 1:41 PM |
| 93 | Cherry Hills Farm | 3/23/2022 1:32 PM |
| 94 | Old Charlou | 3/23/2022 1:16 PM |
| 95 | old cherry hills | 3/23/2022 12:59 PM |
| 96 | Mansfield Heights | 3/23/2022 12:30 PM |
| 97 | Cherrydale | 3/23/2022 12:09 PM |
| 98 | Southmoor Vista | 3/23/2022 11:42 AM |
| 99 | Colorado & Mansfield | 3/23/2022 11:01 AM |
| 100 | Old Charlou | 3/23/2022 10:55 AM |

Q27 What is your gender?

Answered: 107 Skipped: 19



| ANSWER CHOICES | | RESPONSES | |
|------------------------|--|-----------|-----|
| Male | | 46.73% | 50 |
| Female | | 52.34% | 56 |
| Other (please specify) | | 0.93% | 1 |
| TOTAL | | | 107 |

| # | OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) | DATE |
|---|------------------------|-------------------|
| 1 | n/a | 3/23/2022 1:16 PM |

Q28 Approximately how many years have you lived in the City of Cherry Hills Village?

Answered: 111 Skipped: 15

| # | RESPONSES | DATE |
|----|-----------|--------------------|
| 1 | 10 | 4/29/2022 4:07 PM |
| 2 | 30 | 4/29/2022 1:18 PM |
| 3 | 41 | 4/29/2022 12:54 PM |
| 4 | 6 | 4/29/2022 11:57 AM |
| 5 | 25 | 4/29/2022 10:45 AM |
| 6 | 11 | 4/29/2022 10:12 AM |
| 7 | 29 | 4/29/2022 10:10 AM |
| 8 | 8 | 4/29/2022 9:53 AM |
| 9 | 3 | 4/29/2022 9:35 AM |
| 10 | 3 | 4/29/2022 8:56 AM |
| 11 | 32 | 4/29/2022 8:38 AM |
| 12 | 12 | 4/25/2022 7:37 PM |
| 13 | 58 | 4/22/2022 12:09 PM |
| 14 | 12 | 4/21/2022 8:40 AM |
| 15 | 15 | 4/18/2022 11:45 AM |
| 16 | 25 | 4/18/2022 10:32 AM |
| 17 | 33 | 4/18/2022 9:15 AM |
| 18 | 34 | 4/18/2022 9:14 AM |
| 19 | 17 | 4/16/2022 2:36 PM |
| 20 | 3 | 4/15/2022 10:02 PM |
| 21 | 6 | 4/15/2022 5:26 PM |
| 22 | 26 | 4/15/2022 4:36 PM |
| 23 | 28 | 4/15/2022 3:32 PM |
| 24 | 6 | 4/15/2022 8:39 AM |
| 25 | 38 | 4/14/2022 5:48 PM |
| 26 | 25 | 4/14/2022 5:38 PM |
| 27 | 25 | 4/14/2022 4:31 PM |
| 28 | 7 | 4/14/2022 2:17 PM |
| 29 | 29 years | 4/14/2022 12:02 PM |
| 30 | 30 | 4/14/2022 11:20 AM |
| 31 | 9 | 4/14/2022 10:19 AM |

Cherry Hills Village Master Plan Update Second Community Survey

| | | |
|----|---|--------------------|
| 32 | 13 | 4/14/2022 10:15 AM |
| 33 | 45 | 4/14/2022 2:56 AM |
| 34 | 14 | 4/13/2022 9:53 PM |
| 35 | 27 | 4/13/2022 8:52 PM |
| 36 | 2 | 4/13/2022 8:34 PM |
| 37 | 4 | 4/13/2022 6:14 PM |
| 38 | 37(in 3 different homes) | 4/13/2022 4:42 PM |
| 39 | 8 | 4/13/2022 4:41 PM |
| 40 | 9 | 4/13/2022 4:34 PM |
| 41 | 2 | 4/13/2022 4:26 PM |
| 42 | 5 | 4/13/2022 4:04 PM |
| 43 | 45 years | 4/13/2022 4:02 PM |
| 44 | 12 | 4/13/2022 3:58 PM |
| 45 | 34 years | 4/13/2022 3:28 PM |
| 46 | 16 | 4/13/2022 2:52 PM |
| 47 | 22 | 4/11/2022 9:51 PM |
| 48 | 33 | 4/11/2022 9:01 PM |
| 49 | 1 | 4/11/2022 6:14 PM |
| 50 | 31 | 4/11/2022 11:50 AM |
| 51 | 51 | 4/11/2022 9:48 AM |
| 52 | 34 | 4/9/2022 3:00 PM |
| 53 | 16 | 4/9/2022 7:29 AM |
| 54 | 8 | 4/8/2022 8:04 AM |
| 55 | 46 | 4/8/2022 7:57 AM |
| 56 | 1 | 4/7/2022 7:38 PM |
| 57 | 45 | 4/7/2022 4:11 PM |
| 58 | 16 | 4/7/2022 6:07 AM |
| 59 | 15 | 4/6/2022 5:05 PM |
| 60 | 30 | 4/6/2022 5:03 PM |
| 61 | 40 | 4/6/2022 3:29 PM |
| 62 | 12 | 4/6/2022 3:00 PM |
| 63 | 11 | 4/6/2022 10:16 AM |
| 64 | 8 | 4/6/2022 9:43 AM |
| 65 | 1970-2000(mansfield heights) 2004- present(CHN) | 4/6/2022 9:42 AM |
| 66 | 8 | 4/6/2022 9:25 AM |
| 67 | 30+ | 4/6/2022 9:12 AM |
| 68 | 30 | 4/6/2022 8:59 AM |
| 69 | 25 | 4/5/2022 10:43 AM |

Cherry Hills Village Master Plan Update Second Community Survey

| | | |
|-----|----------|--------------------|
| 70 | 6 | 4/4/2022 8:24 PM |
| 71 | 9 | 4/4/2022 6:51 PM |
| 72 | 12 | 4/4/2022 6:37 PM |
| 73 | 25 | 4/4/2022 6:16 PM |
| 74 | 8 | 4/4/2022 5:38 PM |
| 75 | 12 | 4/4/2022 1:56 PM |
| 76 | 9 | 4/4/2022 1:29 PM |
| 77 | 3 | 4/4/2022 1:02 PM |
| 78 | 26 | 4/4/2022 12:52 PM |
| 79 | 10 | 4/4/2022 12:38 PM |
| 80 | 4 | 4/4/2022 11:48 AM |
| 81 | 9 | 4/4/2022 11:47 AM |
| 82 | 4 | 4/4/2022 10:10 AM |
| 83 | 10 | 4/4/2022 9:54 AM |
| 84 | 3 | 4/4/2022 9:17 AM |
| 85 | 7 | 4/2/2022 1:24 AM |
| 86 | 38 | 4/1/2022 3:11 PM |
| 87 | 25 | 3/31/2022 9:29 PM |
| 88 | 18 | 3/30/2022 11:19 AM |
| 89 | 14 | 3/29/2022 8:05 AM |
| 90 | 2 | 3/29/2022 7:44 AM |
| 91 | 4 | 3/28/2022 9:41 PM |
| 92 | 38 years | 3/24/2022 4:38 PM |
| 93 | 12 | 3/24/2022 12:46 PM |
| 94 | 32 | 3/24/2022 11:05 AM |
| 95 | 15 | 3/24/2022 9:52 AM |
| 96 | 10 | 3/23/2022 4:26 PM |
| 97 | 4 | 3/23/2022 3:21 PM |
| 98 | 24 | 3/23/2022 3:11 PM |
| 99 | 23 years | 3/23/2022 2:09 PM |
| 100 | 36 | 3/23/2022 1:58 PM |
| 101 | 1 | 3/23/2022 1:43 PM |
| 102 | 42 | 3/23/2022 1:41 PM |
| 103 | 7 | 3/23/2022 1:32 PM |
| 104 | 26 | 3/23/2022 1:16 PM |
| 105 | 43 | 3/23/2022 12:59 PM |
| 106 | 18 | 3/23/2022 12:30 PM |
| 107 | 11 | 3/23/2022 12:09 PM |

Cherry Hills Village Master Plan Update Second Community Survey

| | | |
|-----|---|--------------------|
| 108 | 30 | 3/23/2022 11:42 AM |
| 109 | 26, plus the 24 years I visited my grandparents here. | 3/23/2022 11:42 AM |
| 110 | 30 | 3/23/2022 11:01 AM |
| 111 | 40 | 3/23/2022 10:55 AM |